God the Father Vindicated

(Rom 3:25-26)

- Read Rom 3:21-31
- Remember this is the first description of the characteristics of salvation in this sub-section
- I. The cross at Calvary was a universal declaration by God, and is at the center of all history
 - A) What the cross "declares" (consider the "mysterious" nature of God's will in O/T)
 - 1. Shows God's method of making righteousness available to man
 - 2. Shows it is not not works but by faith
 - 3. Shows God's wrath fulfilled for believers
 - 4. Shows the typical meaning of O/T sacrifices and system
 - 5. Shows God's providence, and that the cross was not an accident nor a work of evil men
- II. Purpose of the passage, why does Paul include it? What does it mean? A) Terms
 - 1. <u>Set forth/declare</u>- "To manifest, to make plain the mystery, to openly show"
 - i. 1 Pet 1:10-12- Mystery of God's method of salvation under O/T
 - a) Rom 16:25- Mystery kept secret from foundation of world
 - b) 1 Cor 2:7-8- Princes of this world knew not the mystery
 - c) Eph 1:9- Mystery made known
 - d) Col 1:26-27- Mystery hid from ages and generations
 - ii. Declare- Public act, entered into record
 - a) The crucifixion of the Lord Jesus Christ is the most public act ever recorded
 - b) "To declare His righteousness"- This tells us "why" this had to happen and why Paul includes it here (to vindicate God)
 - To prove God just, to clear His holy name from the accusations of men, the vindicate from false statements or thoughts regarding His holiness
 - (a) Man accuse God of breaking His Own commandments all the time, and accuse Him of murder
 - 2) <u>Righteousness</u>- the word used here is used in a different manner than in v.21

- (a) In v.21 it is referring to the "way of righteousness," or "imputed righteousness," how God had made righteousness available to man
- (b) But in v.25 it is referring to God's Own righteous character
 - (1) Rom 3:26- "Just"- Judicial righteousness, morally righteous, perfect, holy, without fault
 - (2) The cross is the ultimate declaration of God's justness
- 2. <u>For</u>- "In respect to, pertaining to, regarding"
 - i. The purpose of the declaration is to justify God regarding His past actions relating to sins
- 3. <u>Remission</u>- This is not the normal word used for "remission/forgiveness" (17x), where it means "to deliver, to set free, to set at liberty"
 - i. *Paresis* This word is only used one time in scripture
 - a) Anytime we find a word used only once, and especially where the writer has used another word regularly in other places, we need to examine it closely
 - b) <u>Pretermission</u>- Word used in Roman Law to refer to the leaving out of someone in a will, something bestowed on others, but not on this individual
 - 1) "To disregard, to overlook, to pass over intentionally"
 - 2) When this happens in a will, this is a deeper statement about the relationship between the 2 parties
 - 3) This is the word Paul deliberately searched (*lego*) out to use (a) "Regarding the passing over of sins that are past"
 - 4) Remission (forgiveness) -vs- Pretermission (not punishing)
- 4. <u>That are past</u>- People teach here that this means my past sins, or anyone's past sins
 - i. They then go on to deny eternal security (Roman doctrine)
 - ii. Paul means here "sins formerly committed"
 - a) But when?
 - 1) Compare the entire passage in context, and he is referring to the Old Covenant -vs- the New Covenant
 - (a) Rom 3:26- "At this time"
 - 2) God had passed over sins under the Old Covenant and now must declare how He had done so without marring His righteousness
- 5. <u>Forbearance</u>- "Self-restraint, toleration, holding back"
 - i. Acts 17:29-31- God "winked at"- (to overlook, to not attend to, to not punish")
 - a) Why?- Acts 17:31- The cross of Christ

- ii. Rom 9:15- "For the redemption of transgressions under the first covenant"
 - a) Hebrews chapter 9 (and whole epistle) is making it clear that the ceremonial sacrifices and system under Moses never had any capacity to forgive sins fully, to eradicate sin
 - 1) They could only provide a temporary fleshly ceremonial cleanness
 - 2) Heb 9:9-10- "Figure for the time then present"......"until" (a) Heb 9:11- "But Christ being come"......
 - b) The Old Covenant had no means of dealing with sin permanently, and could only temporarily "cover" (*Kippur*)
 - 1) The sacrifices were "to God" and not "from man"
 - (a) They were as "reminders" to God why and how He was passing over/forbearing their sin, and at the same time were a means of preaching the gospel to the believers
 - (1) This doesn't mean that O/T saints were not forgiven, they were just the same as N/T saints and by the same sacrifice of Christ, they looked to God to provide a sacrifice (Isa 45:22)
- (2) The animals blood was there foretelling the blood of Christ iii. It was this very thing that left a problem under the Old economy
 - a) God said He hated sin, and that every act of ungodliness or unrighteousness would in no way go unpunished
 - 1) It seemed as if God had gone back on His promise
 - 2) 1 Pet 1:12- Even the angels were confused
 - 3) Unless God can prove how He has done this, He might be accused of going back on His Own word, making Him no longer "just"
 - b) But this and all other questions regarding the nature and perfection of God were answered at Calvary
 - 1) How?
 - (a) Propitiation-God's wrath satisfied
 - (1) God has poured out His wrath on His Son as the Substitute for His church
 - (b) Calvary is the proof and propitiation is the method

III.Summary of statements

- A) Rom 3:25- 1st reason given
 - 1. For vindication of God's actions in "time past"
- B) Rom 3:26- 2nd reason given
 - 1. For vindication of God's actions "at this time"

- i. Cross declares God is just in all that He has ever done and in all that He is doing and ever will do
- ii. Cross doesn't merely say "God forgives," it justifies Him in all He has ever done
 - a) How can God justify sinners and still remain God?
 - 1) The sacrifice of our Lord Jesus Christ
- iii. Cross also validates God faithfulness to His Own word
 - a) Had God said He would punish sin, and then not done so, it would invalidate His entire word and render Him untrustworthy
 - 1) James 1:17- "In Whom there is no variableness neither shadow of turning"