

Comparing The NIV With The King James Bible

By Pastor Arthur L. Watkins

*See how the NIV attacks the deity of Christ, blood atonement
and the fundamentals of the faith and how the KJV defends
them*

Copyright 2012 by Arthur L. Watkins
A Publication of Gulf Coast Bible Society
11832 Village Green Dr.
Magnolia Springs, Alabama 36555
USA

The KJB Versus The NIV

By Pastor Art Watkins

The Two Major Claims Of The Bible

Divine Inspiration

2 Timothy 3:16 says: ***All scripture is given by inspiration of God, and is profitable for doctrine, for reproof, for correction, for instruction in righteousness:***

What is inspiration? By inspiration we mean the supernatural control by God over the production of the Old and New Testaments. It means that when the Apostles and Prophets wrote the Bible, God himself guided them supernaturally to write what they wrote.

2 Peter 1:20-21 says: ***Knowing this first, that no prophecy of the scripture is of any private interpretation. For the prophecy came not in old time by the will of man: but holy men of God spake as they were moved by the Holy Ghost.***

This verse defines inspiration. Holy men of God spake not according to their own will, ideas or personal beliefs. They spake as they were moved by the Holy Ghost. When the Prophets and the Apostles spake and wrote the scriptures, the Holy Ghost was present and he miraculously led them to speak and write without error and preserve accurately the very words of God. And those holy men of God being overshadowed by the Holy Ghost wrote at his command. Being overshadowed by the Holy Ghost they were kept from all error as they recorded things known and even unknown to them. Many of the prophecies the prophets wrote about they did not fully understand, but their prophecies were accurate because they wrote under the inspiration of the Holy Ghost.

Divine Preservation

Psalms 12:7 says: ***The words of the LORD are pure words: as silver tried in a furnace of earth, purified seven times. Thou shalt keep them, O LORD, thou shalt preserve them from this generation for ever.***

What this means; The same God who inspired men to write his words down in the so called originals without error, also inspired men to preserve those same words in a book without error. **Inspiration includes preservation for what good is divine inspiration without divine preservation.**

Why would God inspire men to write his words down in a book without error and not inspire men to preserve those same words in a book without error? Why would God inspire the Apostles and Prophets by his Spirit to write the Old and New Testaments books, but then leave the preservation of the Old and New Testament books up to the flesh of men? Why would God go to all the trouble to write a book without error and then hand that book to men knowing they would corrupt it? If God went to all the trouble to write a book without error then you know he went to the trouble to preserve that book without error. Inspiration always includes preservation. What good is inspiration without preservation?

There are men today who stand in the pulpit and hold up the Bible and say it is the infallible word of God and with the next breath they correct it. They say this word is wrong, this verse does not belong here, this word should be changed, and this verse should be left out. Why do men do this? When they say the Bible is the infallible word of God they mean the so called originals only. They don't believe the Bible they hold in their hand is the infallible word of God. If they did they would not change it. The fact that they change it and correct it shows you they don't believe it is infallible. Something infallible does not need correcting or changing. What these men call the infallible word of God are the original books written by the Apostles and Prophets and not the Bible they hold in their hand.

All fundamentalists believe in divine inspiration, but they do not all believe in divine preservation. The Bible makes both claims but they only believe one: they say they believe in the divine inspiration of 2 Timothy 3:16 but they reject the divine preservation of Psalms 12:7 which is hypocrisy. They hold the Bible up and say it is the inspired infallible word of God without error, but then they turn around and correct it with the next breath. How can a man say it is the inspired word of God and then correct it? ***Because he doesn't believe God preserved the word he inspired.***

Many Fundamentalists believe the originals were inspired, infallible and were without error, but nothing else. They do not believe God preserved his word in a pure and perfect form. Therefore, they do not believe they have a pure, infallible Bible. They believe the originals are infallible, but not the Bible they hold in their hand.

If this is true, do you know what it would mean? It would mean that only 8 men since the days of Christ have ever had their hands on the so called infallible word of God. Why do I say this? Because only 8 men wrote the 27 books of the New Testament. If the infallible word of God is just the originals written by the Apostles, that means that in this age of grace only 8 men have ever had in their possession the infallible word of God. This of course is nonsense and a lie of the devil.

The devil has convinced millions of gullible Christians into believing there is no infallible Bible on earth today by leading them to believe that only the original manuscripts were given by inspiration. To get Christians to swallow this lie they are taught that all the Greek and Hebrew manuscripts which still exist today are only copies. They are then taught that because they are copies they must contain errors, making them inferior to the originals.

The Devil is playing an intellectual trick on Christians today. He uses false logic and reasoning to trick them to believe that since the original manuscripts no longer exist, **the inspiration they were given by no longer exists either.** That is, God's inerrant word vanished with the originals. If you follow this reasoning it would be impossible for anyone in any language to have a pure, infallible Bible. Since all existing manuscripts are "copies", and since according to these so called "scholars"; "copies cannot be inspired" no person anywhere could read or hear God's pure perfect word.

But is this true? Did God allow the purity of his word to be lost with the original writings of Moses, David, and Paul? Did he leave us with defective texts and imperfect

translations that are not perfect or inerrant? Does God have the ability to keep and preserve his word in a pure form just the way he inspired men to write it? Can he use sinful men to preserve his word without error as he used sinful men to write his word down in the originals without error? Are the originals only the infallible word of God? Are the only people who have had the infallible word of God the men who wrote the originals? If so, why would God want them to have them and not us? These are the questions we will ask and answer in this book.

The Originals

When men make the statement; "We believe that the Bible as originally written is the infallible word of God they show one of two things; they are either dishonest or they are ignorant. Do you realize that God abandoned the original manuscripts 1000's of years ago? If God wanted to preserve the so called "originals" for every generation there is no doubt he could have done it, but HE DID NOT choose to do so. The originals vanished 1000's of years ago. So a Bible believing Christian should have the same attitude toward the originals as God does: **the originals have served their purpose and are no longer needed.**

It is interesting to note that the original manuscripts never were compiled to make a complete Bible. The word Bible means "book" or a collection of books. But the original autographs of the Old Testament writers were never in a single volume with the autographs of the New Testament writers. The so called "Bible" that the so called scholars place so much emphasis on, never existed at any time in any language. The original autographs of Moses, David, Isaiah etc. had vanished from the earth long before the 8 writers of the New Testament sat down to write their epistles.

There never was a time in history that all 66 original books of the Bible were put together in one book.

What this means is the so called final authority of many Fundamentalists does not exist now in one volume and it never existed in one volume. According to their theory, there is no time or place in history where a person could have all of God's pure word in a complete cannon.

We have read in Psalms 12:7 that God promised to preserve his word in a pure and perfect form forever. Did God fail to keep his promise? No. God preserved the word that he inspired. And in the Bible when men read the preserved copies of the word of God they believed them to be the infallible words of God.

Examples of how Christ and the Apostles regarded the copies of the "originals"

Luke 4:16-21 says: **And he came to Nazareth, where he had been brought up: and, as his custom was, he went into the synagogue on the sabbath day, and stood up for to read.**

And there was delivered unto him the book of the prophet Esaias. And when he had opened the book, he found the place where it was written, The Spirit of the Lord *is* upon me, because he hath anointed me to preach the gospel to the poor; he hath sent me to heal the brokenhearted, to preach

deliverance to the captives, and recovering of sight to the blind, to set at liberty them that are bruised,

To preach the acceptable year of the Lord.

And he closed the book, and he gave *it* again to the minister, and sat down. And the eyes of all them that were in the synagogue were fastened on him.

And he began to say unto them, **This day is this scripture fulfilled in your ears.**

When they handed the book of Isaiah to Christ to read, was it the original book that Isaiah wrote himself? No. When Jesus Christ read from Isaiah he was reading a copy that had been translated from a copy that had been translated from the original. He did not have in his hand the original book that Isaiah wrote. It was a preserved copy of the book of Isaiah. But Jesus Christ considered the copy he read to be just as pure as the original. He did not correct it or change it. If what he read was not pure and perfect he would have said so because he said that man shall live by every word that proceedeth out of the mouth of God. God would never tell you to put your faith in a book full of errors. The book Christ read from did not contain one error, even though it was a copy of the original book of Isaiah.

In John 5:39 Jesus said: ***Search the scriptures; for in them ye think ye have eternal life: and they are they which testify of me.***

When he told them to search the scriptures did he mean search the original writings of the prophets? No, they had long since disappeared. The scriptures they had were the same ones we have; copies of the originals. But notice, Jesus called these copies *the scriptures* and he said that in these copies they had eternal life and these copies testified of him. Jesus treated the copies of the originals the same as the originals by calling them the scriptures.

In Acts 8:27-35 it says; ***Behold, a man of Ethiopia, an eunuch of great authority under Candace queen of the Ethiopians, who had the charge of all her treasure, and had come to Jerusalem for to worship, was returning, and sitting in his chariot read Esaias the prophet .***

Then the Spirit said unto Philip, Go near, and join thyself to this chariot.

And Philip ran thither to him, and heard him read the prophet Esaias, and said, Understandest thou what thou readest?

And he said, How can I, except some man should guide me? And he desired Philip that he would come up and sit with him.

The place of the scripture which he read was this, He was led as a sheep to the slaughter; and like a lamb dumb before his shearer, so opened he not his mouth:

In his humiliation his judgment was taken away: and who shall declare his generation? for his life is taken from the earth.

And the eunuch answered Philip, and said, I pray thee, of whom speaketh the prophet this? of himself, or of some other man?

Then Philip opened his mouth, and began at the same scripture, and preached unto him Jesus.

When Philip found this Ethiopian eunuch he was sitting in his chariot reading Isaiah the Prophet. He was reading Isaiah 53 and Philip preached unto him that Jesus was the

sacrifice of Isaiah 53. Did he have the original book of Isaiah in his hand? Of course not. He had a preserved copy of the book of Isaiah.

In Act 26:22-23 Paul said: ***I continue unto this day, witnessing both to small and great, saying none other things than those which the prophets and Moses did say should come:***

Throughout his life time Paul preached from the scriptures of Moses and the Prophets. (Acts 17:1-3) But did he have the original writings of Moses and the Prophets in his possession? Of course not. He had what Jesus had; copies of Moses and the Prophets.

In 2 Timothy 3:15-16 Paul wrote: ***And that from a child thou hast known the holy scriptures, which are able to make thee wise unto salvation through faith which is in Christ Jesus.***

All scripture is given by inspiration of God, and is profitable for doctrine, for reproof, for correction, for instruction in righteousness: That the man of God may be perfect, thoroughly furnished unto all good works.

2 Timothy 3:16 is the classic passage on the inspiration of the scriptures. We use it to prove that God inspired the Apostles and Prophets to write the scriptures and that the scriptures are the inspired words of God. But what many ignore is verse 15. Paul says in verse 15 that Timothy knew the Holy Scriptures since he was a child. Did Timothy have in his possession the original scriptures written by the original writers of the Bible? No, he had a copy. But Paul calls the copy Timothy had the ***Holy Scriptures*** and in verse 16 he says all scripture is given by inspiration of God. What does this tell us? Paul considered the scriptures Timothy had to be given by inspiration since in the next verse he says all scripture is given by inspiration. These two verses alone prove that inspiration includes preservation, for the scriptures Timothy had were copies of the originals.

Verse 16 does not say the original writings **were given** by inspiration, but that all scripture ***is given by inspiration*** present tense, referring to the Holy Scriptures which Timothy had in his possession. The so called scholars use this verse to teach that the originals only were inspired, but it says no such thing. Not one time in the Bible is the word scripture or scriptures a reference to an original autograph. It ALWAYS refers to a copy! The originals were given by inspiration not because they were the original autographs, but because they were scripture. The key word is SCRIPTURE. Just remember, for a text to be scripture it does not have to be the original. God makes no distinction between the purity of the original and an accurate copy made of it, even a copy made from another copy hundreds of years after the original!

Since all scripture is given by inspiration of God, the scripture Christ quoted in Luke 4 in the synagogue was pure as were the scriptures he commanded the Jews to search in John 5. Likewise, the scripture the Eunuch read in Acts 8 was pure; the scriptures Paul preached out of in Acts were pure. Every reference to scripture in the Bible is a reference to God's infallible, inerrant word. Whether the scripture is an original autograph or a copy is immaterial. God does not esteem the former above the latter in any way, and neither should we.

The Bible God Inspired and Preserved for The English Speaking People

Since we do not have the originals where can we find the Bible God has preserved for us today? For a multitude of reasons we believe it to be the 1611 King James Bible. We claim the King James text presents an infallible text, preserved without proven error in the language in which God intended for us to have it. And if a Christian does not believe God has kept and preserved His word free from error, then what makes him think God can keep and preserve his soul forever, for both are spoken of as being preserved (1 Thess.5:23)

Psalms 12:7 says: ***The words of the Lord are pure words: as silver tried in a furnace of earth, purified seven times. Thou shalt keep them, O Lord, thou shalt preserve them from this generation for ever.***

Concerning the words being purified seven times it is interesting to note that the King James Version is the seventh major English translation. The six translations before it were:

Wycliffe's Bible 1526
Cloverdale's Bible 1535
Matthews Bible 1537
The Great Bible 1539
The Geneva Bible 1560
The Bishops Bible 1568

Each of these Bibles was a valuable translation, but the King James of 1611 is the purist- the seventh and final purification. It has completely replaced all six of its predecessors.

There are those who object to divine preservation by saying such things as; how could God use sinful men to preserve his word without error? They point out that the King James translators were sinners and they say no sinner could translate God's words without error. But this argument is ludicrous. The same people say the Apostles and Prophets who wrote the originals were sinners and God used them to produce an infallible text, but then they turn around and say that God could not use sinners to preserve what the Apostles and Prophets wrote! That is not consistent, for if God could use sinners to write his words down in the originals without error, why can't he use sinners to preserve it? Also, what possible reason could God have for giving his word by inspiration and having it written down and then allowing it to be hopelessly corrupted? If God did not preserve what he inspired then his work was in vain.

Inspiration extends to preservation. We do not have the original autographs and the only ones who did were the Apostles and Prophets who wrote them. What we have are the words of the originals preserved in a pure text and that is what God intended for us to have. God never intended for us to have the original writings. How could the billions of believers who have lived throughout history all have the original writings of the Apostles and Prophets? The 27 books of the New Testament were written and possessed by just 8 men. How could every Christian since the time of the writing of the New Testament have the originals written by those 8 men? It's impossible. God intended for us to have a pure preservation of the original writings which is what the saints had in Bible times.

The Truth Test

One way businesses get you to buy their products is by comparing their product with their closest competitor. For years we have seen the taste tests between Coke and Pepsi. Coke says if you compare their drink with Pepsi you will buy Coke instead of Pepsi. But Pepsi does the same thing. They challenge the Coke drinkers to try Pepsi and they believe if they do they will start drinking Pepsi and stop drinking Coke.

It's the same way when it comes to Bibles. The two main Bibles in the religious world today are the King James Bible (KJB) and the New International Version (NIV). For years the King James Bible was the one and only Bible that most Bible believers used, but when the NIV came along many switched. The so called "scholars" told them it was superior to the King James and quote "easier to understand" and many Christians bought into their slick marketing scam.

But many Christians are not aware of what they bought into. They never took the time to put these two Bibles to the **truth test**. They never compared them with one another. They made the mistake of taking the publishers word and the so called "scholar's" word for the NIV and they bought it and retired their KJB.

Maybe you are one of these Christians who did this. In this book we are going to put the NIV and the King James Bible to the truth test. We will compare what the NIV and the KJB says on key verses of scripture. You will see in this comparison how the KJB upholds and defends the truth and how the NIV attacks and denies the truth. And if you are truly saved and believe that truth is too important to be denied and perverted I think you will retire the NIV and put that KJB back into business.

Isaiah 14:12

KJB

How art thou fallen from heaven, O Lucifer, son of the morning! how art thou cut down to the ground, which didst weaken the nations!

NIV

**How you have fallen from heaven,
O morning star, son of the dawn!
You have been cast down to the earth,
you who once laid low the nations!**

Lucifer is the devil and this is a prophecy of how God one day will cast him down to hell. But the NIV changed the name Lucifer to read *morning star*. There is a major problem with this because Jesus Christ is the **Morning Star** according to Revelation 22:16. The NIV has made a tragic mistake; It took Lucifer out of the text and put Jesus name in his place thus making Jesus Christ the devil that God will cast into hell in Isaiah 14. What blasphemy!

Micah 5:2

KJB

But thou, Bethlehem Ephratah, though thou be little among the thousands of Judah, yet out of thee shall he come forth unto me that is to be ruler in Israel; whose goings forth have been from of old, from everlasting.

NIV

“But you, Bethlehem Ephrathah, though you are small among the clans of Judah, out of you will come for me one who will be ruler over Israel, whose origins are from of old, from ancient times.

The KJB says that Jesus is from old, from everlasting. The NIV says he is from old, from ancient times. It leaves out a key word; the word *everlasting*. By doing so, it denies the eternal existence of Jesus Christ. Many people are from old and ancient times, but Jesus Christ is from everlasting because he is God. This is an Old Testament attack against the deity of Christ by the NIV.

Daniel 3:25

KJB

He answered and said, Lo, I see four men loose, walking in the midst of the fire, and they have no hurt; and the form of the fourth is like the Son of God.

NIV

He said, “Look! I see four men walking around in the fire, unbound and unharmed, and the fourth looks like a son of the gods.”

The Son of God is Jesus Christ. The KJB shows us that when Shadrach, Meshach, and Abednego were cast into the fire the Son of God was with them. Jesus Christ was the fourth man in the fire. But the NIV totally changes the verse to read a son of the gods. The Son of God is not one of the sons of the gods. The sons of the gods were devils the pagans believed were begotten by the many idols and false gods they worshipped. The NIV not only denies that the fourth man in the fire was Jesus Christ, but they make him out to be one of the many devils the pagans worshipped in Babylon.

2 Samuel 21:19

KJB

And there was again a battle in Gob with the Philistines, where Elhanan the son of Jaareoregim, a Bethlehemite, slew the brother of Goliath the Gittite, the staff of whose spear was like a weaver's beam.

NIV

In another battle with the Philistines at Gob, Elhanan son of Jaare-Oregim the Bethlehemite killed Goliath the Gittite, who had a spear with a shaft like a weaver's rod.

The KJB says that Elhanan slew the brother of Goliath. The NIV says he slew Goliath. The little children in my church all know that David killed Goliath, but the translators of

the NIV didn't know this; they said Elhanan killed Goliath the giant. This is a gross error and yet this is the Bible the so called "experts" say is so superior to the KJB. Children in my kindergarten who have never set foot in school know that David killed Goliath, but these men and women who spent years in college and have a long list of academic degrees behind their names did not know this. This would be laughable if it were not so serious.

Matthew 1:24-25

KJB

Then Joseph being raised from sleep did as the angel of the Lord had bidden him, and took unto him his wife: And knew her not till she had brought forth her firstborn son: and he called his name JESUS.

NIV

When Joseph woke up, he did what the angel of the Lord had commanded him and took Mary home as his wife. But he had no union with her until she gave birth to a son. And he gave him the name Jesus.

The KJB says Jesus was the first born son of Mary. The NIV leaves out the words *firstborn son*. By leaving out the words firstborn son the NIV denies the fact that Mary had other children after Christ. The editors of the NIV would have you to believe the heresy of the perpetual virginity of Mary. But the KJB shows us Mary did not remain a virgin all her life. She was a virgin when she had Christ, but Jesus is called her firstborn son for the simple reason Mary had other children after Christ. She had sons and daughters and their names are listed in Matthew 13:55-56.

Matthew 5:21-22

KJB

Ye have heard that it was said by them of old time, Thou shalt not kill; and whosoever shall kill shall be in danger of the judgment:

But I say unto you, That whosoever is angry with his brother without a cause shall be in danger of the judgment.

NIV

You have heard that it was said to the people long ago, 'Do not murder, and anyone who murders will be subject to judgment.' But I tell you that anyone who is angry with his brother will be subject to judgment.

The KJB says that a man who is angry with his brother without a cause is a sinner and is in danger of the judgment. The NIV says that anyone who is angry with his brother is a sinner and in danger of the judgment. The NIV leaves out the words; *without a cause*. This is a serious mistake because if that were true Christ would be a sinner because he got angry on many occasions. In Mark 3 the Pharisees condemn Jesus for healing a man on the Sabbath day and it says in verse 5: **And when he had looked round about on them with anger, being grieved for the hardness of their hearts, he saith unto the man, Stretch forth thine hand. And he stretched *it* out: and his hand was restored whole as the other.**

Jesus Christ got angry with the religious hypocrites in time past and is still angry with them today. There is no sin in being angry. The Bible says be ye angry and sin not in Ephesians 4:26. The sin is being angry with someone without a cause. Christ had a good cause to be angry with the Pharisees and he has a good cause to be angry with the translators of the NIV who make him out to be the devil in Isaiah 14 and a sinner in Matthew 5.

Matthew 18:11

KJB

For the Son of man is come to save that which was lost.

NIV

The NIV leaves this verse out that says Christ came to save sinners. If Christ came to save sinners then what spirit led the NIV translators to leave out a verse that says he came to save them? This shows you beyond a doubt that the men and women who wrote the NIV were not led by the Spirit of God. If they were led by the Spirit of God they would have left these words in the verse. Instead they cut them out. The spirit who led them to cut it out was the spirit of satan for he is the spirit who blinds people's minds from being saved by the Son of God. One way he blinds them is with Bibles like the NIV that leaves out verses that says he came to save sinners.

Matthew 23:14

Woe unto you, scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! for ye devour widows' houses, and for a pretence make long prayer: therefore ye shall receive the greater damnation.

Here is a verse that condemns a group of priests who wore long robes and called themselves fathers who were charging widows to pray for their dead spouses. They charged so much money that they devoured widows houses and their property. These long robed priests who called themselves fathers had a religious racket going on. They led these poor widows to believe they had the power to pray their dead loved ones out of a place of punishment and the Lord condemned them. He said they were of the devil. Do you know of a group of men today who wear long robes to appear holier than other people who call themselves fathers and pray for dead people? It obvious who the Lord is condemning in this verse. This condemns the Roman Catholic priesthood who still operate this money making religious racket today. But guess what; **this verse is missing in the NIV.**

The NIV translators did not want you to know that it is satanic to pray for dead people. They did not want to expose these long robed priests called fathers who charge people to pray for the dead, so they took the scissors of Satan and cut it out of the NIV. If you use the NIV how can you condemn the satanic practice of praying for the dead when the Bible you use deletes the verse in the Bible that condemns this satanic religious racket?

Matthew 27:35

KJB

And they crucified him, and parted his garments, casting lots: that it might be fulfilled which was spoken by the prophet, They parted my garments among them, and upon my vesture did they cast lots.

NIV

When they had crucified him, they divided up his clothes by casting lots.

The NIV left out the part of the verse that says Christ fulfilled prophecy when he died. In this verse he fulfilled Psalms 22:18. Fulfilled prophecy tells us two major things: The Bible is true and Jesus Christ is the Son of God. The NIV struck it from the verse.

Mark 1:14

KJB

Now after that John was put in prison, Jesus came into Galilee, preaching the gospel of the kingdom of God,

NIV

After John was put in prison, Jesus went into Galilee, proclaiming the good news of God.

The NIV changed the words *gospel of the kingdom* to read *good news of God*. God has a lot of good news, but there is only one gospel of the kingdom and Jesus preached it. Also there is more than one gospel in the Bible and the KJB tells you which one Jesus preached. The NIV leaves this important fact out of this verse.

Mark 3:14-15

KJB

And he ordained twelve, that they should be with him, and that he might send them forth to preach, And to have power to heal sicknesses, and to cast out devils:

NIV

He appointed twelve--designating them apostles--that they might be with him and that he might send them out to preach and to have authority to drive out demons.

The NIV left out something very important in these verses; the fact that Jesus sent the apostles to heal sicknesses. The KJB says Jesus sent them forth to preach and to have power to heal, but the NIV leaves it out. It strips the Apostles of the power Jesus gave them to heal.

Mark 6:11

KJB

And whosoever shall not receive you, nor hear you, when ye depart thence, shake off the dust under your feet for a testimony against them. Verily I say unto you, It shall be more tolerable for Sodom and Gomorrah in the day of judgment, than for that city.

NIV

And if any place will not welcome you or listen to you, shake the dust off your feet when you leave, as a testimony against them.

The NIV leaves out what God did to Sodom and Gomorrah. Why? Two of the members of the NIV translation committee were open lesbians. That is why the word sodomite is left completely out of the NIV. The reason they leave it out is because the NIV was written by pro homosexuals. They don't want you to know that the reason God destroyed Sodom and Gomorrah was because of the sin of sodomy.

Mark 15:27-28

KJB

(27) And with him they crucify two thieves; the one on his right hand, and the other on his left.

(28) And the scripture was fulfilled, which saith, And he was numbered with the transgressors.

Verse 28 is not found in the NIV. Verse 28 is important for it shows us again that when Christ died he fulfilled prophecy which is one of the proofs the Bible is true and accurate. He fulfilled Isaiah 53:12 in this verse, but you would not know it reading the NIV.

Mark 16:1-20

In this chapter is found the resurrection of Christ, the ascension of Christ back up into heaven and the great commission he gave his Apostles. The KJB has all 20 verses, but the NIV has a large note that says verses 9-20 are not in some early manuscripts. The manuscripts that left them out were corrupt manuscripts, but they are found in the pure manuscripts the KJB came from called the Textus Receptus.

Luke 2:33

KJB

And Joseph and his mother marveled at those things which were spoken of him.

NIV

The child's father and mother marveled at what was said about him.

The KJB is careful in how it uses the name of Joseph. It says that Mary was his mother, but it does not say Joseph was his father. The NIV says Joseph was Christ's father denying the fact that God was his father, not Joseph. This is another attack on the deity of Christ.

Luke 2:43

KJB

And when they had fulfilled the days, as they returned, the child Jesus tarried behind in Jerusalem; and Joseph and his mother knew not of it.

NIV

After the Feast was over, while his parents were returning home, the boy Jesus stayed behind in Jerusalem, but they were unaware of it

Again the KJB is careful not to call Joseph the father or parent of Christ, for he was not. The NIV calls Joseph his parent which makes him his father and that is a lie. This is another attack against the deity of Christ.

Luke 4:4

KJB

And Jesus answered him, saying, It is written, That man shall not live by bread alone, but by every word of God.

NIV

Jesus answered, It is written: Man does not live on bread alone.

The NIV left out the words; *but by every word of God*. This is religious insanity for everything we have and believe as Christians is based upon every word of God. Our hope of salvation and eternal life depends on every word of God, but the NIV left this fact out. Why would a group of Bible translators leave out a verse that says we live by every word of God? Did God or the devil lead them to leave out these words?

Luke 4:8

KJB

And Jesus answered and said unto him, Get thee behind me, Satan: for it is written, Thou shalt worship the Lord thy God, and him only shalt thou serve.

NIV

Jesus answered, "It is written: 'Worship the Lord your God and serve him only.

The NIV left out Christ's command to the devil to get behind him. In the KJB we see the authority Christ had over the devil. But the NIV hides his authority by leaving it out of the verse.

Luke 7:28

KJB

For I say unto you, Among those that are born of women there is not a greater prophet than John the Baptist: but he that is least in the kingdom of God is greater than he.

NIV

I tell you, among those born of women there is no one greater than John; yet the one who is least in the kingdom of God is greater than he."

The NIV left out an important fact about John the Baptist; that he was the greatest of all the Prophets.

Luke 9:56

KJB

For the Son of man is not come to destroy men's lives, but to save *them*. And they went to another village.

NIV

And they went to another village.

The NIV leaves out half the verse. By leaving these words out the NIV again conceals the reason Christ came into the world. They left out the fact that the Son of Man did not come to destroy men's lives, but to save them. Only the devil would strike these words out of the Bible.

Luke 23:38

KJB

And a superscription also was written over him in letters of Greek, and Latin, and Hebrew, THIS IS THE KING OF THE JEWS.

NIV

There was a written notice above him, which read: THIS IS THE KING OF THE JEWS.

The NIV left out the fact that over the cross it was written in Greek, Hebrew and Latin that Jesus Christ was the King of the Jews. Those were the three dominate languages in that day so everyone in his own language could read it. But the NIV chose to strike it from the verse. (The chief priests who crucified Christ didn't like the sign either- See John 19:21-22)

John 3:16

KJB

For God so loved the world, that he gave his only begotten Son, that whosoever believeth in him should not perish, but have everlasting life.

NIV

"For God so loved the world that he gave his one and only Son, that whoever believes in him shall not perish but have eternal life.

The reading in the NIV is a gross error because Jesus Christ is not God's one and only Son. Every true Christian is a son of God. Angels are called the sons of God. God has many sons so Christ is not the one and only son of God like the NIV says. The KJB has it right; Jesus Christ is God's only *begotten* Son. He is God's Son by a miraculous birth. We are sons of God by adoption not birth. The angels are sons of God by creation not birth. God has only one begotten Son all the rest are either adopted or created. But the NIV denies this fact by calling Jesus his one and only Son which is a doctrinal error and a factual error.

John 4:42

KJB

And said unto the woman, Now we believe, not because of thy saying: for we have heard *him* ourselves, and know that this is indeed the Christ, the Saviour of the world.

NIV

They said to the woman, "We no longer believe just because of what you said; now we have heard for ourselves, and we know that this man really is the Savior of the world."

The NIV leaves out the fact that these men not only believed that Jesus was the Savior, but that he was Christ the Messiah by leaving out the word Christ.

John 6:47

KJB

Verily, verily, I say unto you, He that believeth on me hath everlasting life.

NIV

I tell you the truth, he who believes has everlasting life

The NIV leaves out the *words believeth on me*. It makes a world of difference in what you believe. You must believe on Christ to be saved like the KJB says, but the NIV left it out. All Muslims say you must believe like the NIV, but they do not say believe on Christ like the KJB.

John 16:16

KJB

A little while, and ye shall not see me: and again, a little while, and ye shall see me, because I go to the Father.

NIV

In a little while you will see me no more, and then after a little while you will see me.

The NIV leaves out the words: *because I go to my Father*. There are many cults that teach that Jesus went to India, Mount Carmel, Europe, America and many other places. The KJB refutes this heresy, but the NIV promotes it by leaving out where he went. He went back to heaven to sit at the right hand of God.

Acts 1:3

KJB

To whom also he shewed himself alive after his passion by many infallible proofs, being seen of them forty days, and speaking of the things pertaining to the kingdom of God:

The KJB says that the proofs of Christ's resurrection were many and they are *infallible proofs*. The NIV says *convincing proofs*. The word convincing isn't as strong as infallible for what may be convincing to one man may not be convincing to another. But infallible leaves no room for debate, doubt, or dispute. The KJB chose the strongest word possible to prove his resurrection. The NIV chose a word that is weak and relative.

Acts 8:37

KJB

(36) And as they went on *their way*, they came unto a certain water: and the eunuch said, See, *here is water*; what doth hinder me to be baptized?

(37) And Philip said, If thou believest with all thine heart, thou mayest. And he answered and said, I believe that Jesus Christ is the Son of God.

NIV

Verse 37 is missing in the NIV. Think about it; Here is a verse where a man testifies

that Jesus Christ is the Son of God and the NIV leaves it out! One of the greatest verses in the Bible to prove the deity of Christ is left out of the NIV. The NIV revision committee did not want you to believe that Jesus Christ is the Son of God so they left it out. That alone is reason to discard this satanic piece of trash.

Romans 1:16

KJB

For I am not ashamed of the gospel of Christ: for it is the power of God unto salvation to every one that believeth; to the Jew first, and also to the Greek.

NIV

I am not ashamed of the gospel, because it is the power of God for the salvation of everyone who believes: first for the Jew, then for the Gentile.

The NIV leaves out the words *gospel of Christ*. That is a mistake for it conceals the gospel that has the power to save today; the gospel of Christ. A man could preach any gospel today, even a false one, and quote this verse in the NIV. But the KJB identifies the true gospel as the gospel of Christ.

Romans 13:9

KJB

For this, Thou shalt not commit adultery, Thou shalt not kill, Thou shalt not steal, Thou shalt not bear false witness, Thou shalt not covet; and if *there be* any other commandment, it is briefly comprehended in this saying, namely, Thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself.

The NIV left out the commandment against bearing false witness. It is not found in Romans 13:9 in the NIV. Only a liar would leave out a verse that condemns liars. The NIV translators were all liars and the NIV is a masterpiece of lies and half truths. That is why they left out the commandment that condemns them.

1 Corinthians 5:7

KJB

Purge out therefore the old leaven, that ye may be a new lump, as ye are unleavened. For even Christ our passover is sacrificed for us:

NIV

Get rid of the old yeast that you may be a new batch without yeast—as you really are. For Christ, our Passover lamb, has been sacrificed.

The NIV leaves out the fact that Christ was sacrificed for us. The KJB tell us why and for whom Christ was sacrificed. The NIV conceals this fact.

1 Corinthians 10:28

KJB

But if any man say unto you, This is offered in sacrifice unto idols, eat not for his sake that shewed it, and for conscience sake: for the earth is the Lord's, and the fullness thereof:

NIV

But if anyone says to you, “This has been offered in sacrifice,” then do not eat it, both for the sake of the man who told you and for conscience’ sake

The NIV translators did not want you know that the earth is the Lord’s and the fullness thereof so they cut it out of the text.

2 Corinthians 2:17

KJB

For we are not as many, which corrupt the word of God: but as of sincerity, but as of God, in the sight of God speak we in Christ.

NIV

Unlike so many, we do not peddle the word of God for profit. On the contrary, in Christ we speak before God with sincerity, like men sent from God.

The NIV changes the words *corrupt the word of God* to read *peddling the word of God*. This is no surprise for the NIV corrupts the word of God on every page. You would expect a corrupt Bible like the NIV to change this verse that condemns them! This is a real eye opener.

Galatians 6:15

KJB

For in Christ Jesus neither circumcision availeth any thing, nor uncircumcision, but a new creature.

NIV

Neither circumcision nor uncircumcision means anything; what counts is a new creation.

The NIV leaves out the words *for in Christ Jesus* which destroys the meaning of the verse. Circumcision and uncircumcision mean nothing in Christ because in him these differences are abolished. See Galatians 3:28, Colossians 3:11.

Galatians 6:17

KJB

From henceforth let no man trouble me: for I bear in my body the marks of the Lord Jesus.

NIV

Finally, let no one cause me trouble, for I bear on my body the marks of Jesus.

The KJB says that Jesus is the Lord. The NIV leaves out the word Lord. This is another subtitle attack on the deity of Christ because the Lord is Jehovah which means Jesus is Jehovah. See Isaiah 26:4.

Ephesians 1:6

KJB

To the praise of the glory of his grace, wherein he hath made us accepted in the beloved.

NIV

To the praise of his glorious grace, which he has freely given us in the One he loves.

The NIV left out the words that we are *accepted in the beloved*. By leaving out these words they concealed the way sinners like us are made acceptable unto God today. It's because we are in Christ that we are acceptable unto God. Because God sees the believer in Christ, all believers are acceptable to him in spite of the fact that we are all sinners and he is Holy. The NIV revision committee did not believe this truth so they struck it from the text.

Ephesians 3:9

KJB

And to make all *men* see what *is* the fellowship of the mystery, which from the beginning of the world hath been hid in God, who created all things by Jesus Christ:

NIV

To make plain to everyone the administration of this mystery, which for ages past was kept hidden in God, who created all things.

The NIV leaves out the words by *Jesus Christ* in a verse that shows him to be the creator of heaven and earth. The KJB tells you that God created all things by Jesus Christ and the NIV leaves it out. The KJB wanted you to know that the God of Gen.1:1 who created the heaven and earth was Jesus Christ. The NIV did not want you to know Jesus Christ is the creator of Gen. 1:1 for that would make him the God of Gen.1:1. Every chance it gets to attack the deity of Christ it does. Every chance the KJB gets to uphold and defend his deity it does so.

Ephesians 3:14

KJB

For this cause I bow my knees unto the Father of our Lord Jesus Christ.

NIV

For this reason I kneel before the Father,

The words *Lord Jesus Christ* is left out of the NIV. The NIV revision committee does not want you to know that God is the Father of our Lord Jesus Christ, so they just cut him completely out of the verse. They disconnect the Son from the Father.

Ephesians 5:30

KJB

For we are members of his body, of his flesh, and of his bones.

NIV

For we are members of his body.

The NIV left out the fact that we are members of Christ's flesh and bones as well as his body. The KJB put it in the text because in the context the church is the wife of Christ and as a wife is her husband's body, flesh and bones, the church is Christ's body, flesh

and bones in this present evil world while he is in heaven.

Philippians 2:5-6

KJB

Let this mind be in you, which was also in Christ Jesus: Who, being in the form of God, thought it not robbery to be equal with God:

NIV

Your attitude should be the same as that of Christ Jesus: Who, being in the very nature God, did not consider equality with God something to be grasped.

The KJB says Christ did not consider it robbery to be equal with God. If I made myself equal with God I would rob God of his deity for I would be dragging God down to my level. But when Jesus made himself equal with God he did not rob God of his deity for he was God manifest in the flesh.

The NIV says Christ did not think equality with God was something he could grasp. In other words, according to the NIV Christ did not make himself equal with God because he couldn't do it! What blasphemy!

Colossians 1:2

KJB

To the saints and faithful brethren in Christ which are at Colosse: Grace be unto you, and peace, from God our Father and the Lord Jesus Christ.

NIV

To the holy and faithful brothers in Christ at Colosse: Grace and peace to you from God our Father.

The NIV leaves out the words *the Lord Jesus Christ*. Why would the NIV committee leave out that wonderful name, if they didn't have something against him?

Colossians 1:14

KJB

In whom we have redemption through his blood, even the forgiveness of sins:

NIV

In whom we have redemption, the forgiveness of sins.

The NIV leaves out the *blood of Christ* in this verse. Who hates the blood of Christ? Who tries to cover up the blood of Christ? Who would have you to believe that you could be redeemed by something other than the blood of Christ? Satan. Now you know who wrote the NIV. It is Satan's master piece.

In Genesis 4 Cain who slew Abel would have loved the NIV because he is the founder of the religious system that teaches men that they can be redeemed by the works of their own hands without a bloody sacrifice for their sins. It's no wonder the modernists and liberals love the NIV.

Colossians 2:9

KJB

For in him dwelleth all the fulness of the Godhead bodily.

NIV

For in Christ all the fullness of the Deity lives in bodily form.

The NIV changes the word Godhead to read *the deity*. The words *the deity* is a new age term that can apply to anything that men call God today. The Hindus have millions of deities. The KJB says Godhead. There isn't but one God and Christ is filled with the fullness of the Godhead bodily. He is God manifest in a body of flesh.

2 Thessalonians 2:3

KJB

Let no man deceive you by any means: for *that day shall not come, except there come a falling away first, and that man of sin be revealed, the son of perdition*;

We know the antichrist is called the son of perdition in Revelation 17:8. We also know that Judas Iscariot is called the son of perdition and a devil in John 17:12. From this we know that the same spirit that was in Judas Iscariot will be in the antichrist. But, the NIV changes the verse to read: *the man doomed to destruction*. By changing these words it hides the true identity of the antichrist, because all lost men are doomed to destruction, but all lost men are not the antichrist. The NIV hides the fact that the antichrist will be the second coming of Judas Iscariot.

1 Timothy 3:16

KJB

And without controversy great is the mystery of godliness: God was manifest in the flesh, justified in the Spirit, seen of angels, preached unto the Gentiles, believed on in the world, received up into glory.

NIV

Beyond all question, the mystery from which true godliness springs is great: He appeared in the flesh, was vindicated by the Spirit, was seen by angels, was preached among the nations, was believed on in the world, was taken up in glory.

The KJB says that God was manifest in the flesh. When was God manifest in the flesh? In Jesus Christ. Jesus Christ is God in a physical body of flesh. This is one of the greatest verses in the New Testament that declares that Jesus Christ is God manifest in the flesh and the NIV totally perverts it. It reads: *he appeared in the flesh*. The way that the NIV translates this verse it can apply to anybody. It can apply to me or you. You and I have appeared in the flesh. What is so great about this? It does not tell you who appeared in the flesh and the fact that he was God. It is not correct English. He is a pronoun that refers to a noun or antecedent. There is no antecedent in the context of the NIV. The statement makes no sense. It's poor grammar. It hides the fact that Jesus Christ is God manifest in the flesh.

1 Timothy 6:20

KJB

O Timothy, keep that which is committed to thy trust, avoiding profane *and* vain babblings, and oppositions of science falsely so called:

NIV

Timothy, guard what has been entrusted to your care. Turn away from godless chatter and the opposing ideas of what is falsely called knowledge.

One of the great enemies we face today as Christians is false science. Much of what men call science today is false such as the theory of evolution. We are bombarded every day by false science and much of it is aimed at the Bible and Christians to shake our faith in the word of God. The NIV changes the words false science to read *knowledge*. By doing so they left out one of the most important warnings to Christians in the last days about false science.

2 Timothy 2:15

KJB

Study to shew thyself approved unto God, a workman that needeth not to be ashamed, rightly dividing the word of truth.

NIV

Do your best to present yourself to God as one approved, a workman who does not need to be ashamed and who correctly handles the word of truth.

This is one of the greatest verses in the Bible that tells us how to read, study and teach the Bible. The KJB tells us to *rightly divide* the word of truth. We know that most heresies today come from those who do not rightly divide the word of Truth. This verse will save us from the heresies and false doctrines of the last days.

The NIV changes the words to read: *correctly handles the word of truth*. There is a world of difference between handling something and dividing it. The NIV perverts the greatest verse in the Bible concerning studying and interpreting the Bible. The NIV translators do not want you to rightly divide the word of truth and nether does the devil. It is much easier for him to deceive you if you do not rightly divide the word of truth. It is amazing to me how many dispensationalists who preach about *rightly dividing the word of truth* use the NIV which leaves out these words!

James 5:16

KJB

Confess your faults one to another, and pray one for another, that ye may be healed. The effectual fervent prayer of a righteous man availeth much.

NIV

Therefore confess your sins to each other and pray for each other so that you may be healed. The prayer of a righteous man is powerful and effective.

The NIV changes the word faults to read sins. There is a difference between faults and sins. Every fault is not a sin. The NIV says to confess your sins to other people, but we should confess our sins to God only. (1 John 1:9)

The Roman Catholic Church teaches people to confess their sins to their long robed priests they call fathers. These priests who call themselves fathers say they alone have the power to forgive sins. That's blasphemy, but the NIV backs this heresy up by changing the word fault to read sin.

1 Peter 4:14

KJB

If ye be reproached for the name of Christ, happy *are ye*; for the spirit of glory and of God resteth upon you: on their part he is evil spoken of, but on your part he is glorified.

NIV

If you are insulted because of the name of Christ, you are blessed, for the Spirit of glory and of God rests on you.

The NIV leaves out half of the verse that tells us that Christians are supposed to glorify Christ and how the lost speak evil of him. The NIV does not glorify Christ and it speaks evil of Christ so they left it out.

1 Peter 5:17

KJB

The church that is at Babylon, elected together with you, saluteth you; and so doth Marcus my son.

NIV

She who is in Babylon, chosen together with you, sends you her greetings, and so does my son Mark.

The NIV changes the word *church* to read *she*. This is goofy nonsense and it conceals the fact that there was a church in Babylon and that Peter was in Babylon and died there and not Rome.

1 John 5:7

KJB

For there are three that bear record in heaven, the Father, the Word, and the Holy Ghost: and these three are one.

NIV

For there are three that testify:

The NIV left out the words: **the Father, the Word, and the Holy Ghost: and these three are one.** This is a great verse in the Bible that proves the divine trinity and it is left out of the NIV. Why would a group of so called Bible scholars expel God the Father, God the Son and God the Holy Spirit from the Bible they wrote? They kicked the Holy Trinity out of the very book they wrote! This is another case of religious insanity. If you believe in the Holy trinity why do you use the NIV that attacks the trinity in 1 John 5:7?

1 John 5:13

KJB

These things have I written unto you that believe on the name of the Son of God; that ye may know that ye have eternal life, and that ye may believe on the name of the Son of God.

NIV

I write these things to you who believe in the name of the Son of God so that you may know that you have eternal life.

These verses are written to both saved and lost alike. It is written to those who have already believed on the Son of God and to those who have not. It encourages the lost to believe on the name of the Son of God, but the NIV left that part of the verse out. WHY? Who led the NIV translators to leave out half of a verse that encourages lost people to believe in the name of the Son of God? It's obviously the god of this world the devil.

Revelation 1:11

KJB

I am Alpha and Omega, the first and the last: and, What thou seest, write in a book, and send *it* unto the seven churches which are in Asia; unto Ephesus, and unto Smyrna, and unto Pergamos, and unto Thyatira, and unto Sardis, and unto Philadelphia, and unto Laodicea.

NIV

Which said: “Write on a scroll what you see and send it to the seven churches: to Ephesus, Smyrna, Pergamum, Thyatira, Sardis, Philadelphia and Laodicea.”

By calling himself Alpha and Omega, the first and the last Jesus identifies himself as the God of Isaiah 44:6. The NIV leaves out the words **I am Alpha and Omega, the first and the last**. Only God can make this statement and Jesus said it about himself making himself equal with God again. But the NIV leaves it out. When the NIV gets a chance to attack Christ's deity it always does.

Revelation 1:13

KJB

And in the midst of the seven candlesticks *one* like unto the Son of man, clothed with a garment down to the foot, and girt about the paps with a golden girdle.

NIV

And among the lampstands was someone like a son of man, dressed in a robe reaching down to his feet and with a golden sash around his chest.

In the KJB John describes the person who gave him these revelations as the Son of man. The NIV changes the *words the Son of man* to read someone like *a son of man*. A son of man could be any body. I am a son of man and so are you, but we are not THE Son of man. The KJB leaves no room for doubt but that the person that John sees in these two visions is Jesus Christ. But the NIV changes it to read *someone like a son of man*. That could be anybody.

Revelation 5:14

KJB

And the four beasts said, Amen. And the four *and* twenty elders fell down and worshipped him that liveth for ever and ever.

NIV

The four living creatures said, “Amen,” and the elders fell down and worshiped.

The NIV leaves out the words *liveth forever*. Who would leave out a verse that says that Jesus Christ lives forever? Only a person under the influence of satan would do such a thing.

***The NIV leaves out 17 verses in the New Testament found in the King James Bible and leaves out 64,576 words in both Testaments.**

***There is nothing new about the NIV as it claims. The readings found in the NIV are the same ones found in the RSV, NRSV, ASV and the NASV. As to the text of the NIV and its Greek text, it is nothing but a reprint of the 1890 (Nestles) translated as the RSV and the NRSV translated it.**

A summary of the reasons a Christian should believe the Authorized King James Version of 1611 is the pure, inerrant word of God and superior to all other English translations.

By Tim Morton

- (1) Because God promised to preserve His pure word for every generation, and the KJB has more godly fruits and virtues than any other Bible, thus indicating it is His pure word.
- (2) Because its English text is based on the Textus Receptus which essentially represents the majority of Greek texts.
- (3) Because its Greek text and translations from it, is stained with the blood of countless Bible believing Christians who gave their life to protect it.
- (4) Because its Greek text was directly responsible for the Protestant Reformation.
- (5) Because of the time of its publication in 1611; before the great movements of unbelief swept the world.
- (6) Because of the accuracy, vitality, and expressiveness of its language.
- (7) Because of the ability, character, and integrity of its translators.
- (8) Because of its internal consistency and honesty concerning its italics, the apocrypha, and its different editions.
- (9) Because of its lack of a commercial copyright.
- (10) Because it has greatly outsold all other English translations combined with over one billion copies printed.
- (11) Because of its remarkable endurance through the centuries against constant attacks and criticism from carnal Christians and non-Christians.
- (12) Because over one hundred attempts to replace it have not succeeded.
- (13) Because of the inconsistent and emotional arguments of its critics and their refusal to believe any Bible in any language is inerrant.
- (14) Because it is the standard all the publishers and translators of the modern versions compare their Bibles against.
- (15) Because it is the Bible God used by choice to start every great revival since its

publication, resulting in the salvation of millions.

(16) Because of the preeminent place it gives to the Lord Jesus Christ; it exalts Him at every opportunity.

(17) Because it expresses every Christian doctrine especially the fundamentals more often or more clearly than any modern version.

(18) Because of the witness of the Holy Spirit and the power and influence it has over men, saint and sinner.

(19) Because God authorized it to be the Standard English Bible in the eyes of the public through most of the last four centuries.

(20) Because of its use of chapter and verse markings, making it much easier to find and note passages.

(21) Because no one has proven one error in it yet.

(A book I highly recommend concerning this subject is Tim Morton's book: **Which Translation Should You Trust?** It's only 80 pages and can be purchased from Amazon.com)

Pastor Art Watkins
Coden Bible Church
Coden, Alabama
Gulf Coast Bible Society
Phone: 251-391-0975
E-Mail: artwat@hotmail.com
Web Site: Coden Bible Church.com